

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2898		
10/647,822 08/25/2003		Mark E. Somerville	200308976-1			
75	90 01/23/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			TRAN, QUOC DUC			
P.O. Box 27240	perty Administration		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400	2643				

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	·
Office Action Summary		10/647	0/647,822 SOMERVILLE ET AL.		ΓAL.
		Exami	ner	Art Unit	
		Quoc E). Tran	2643	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on	the cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ddress
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Management of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available of this committee to reply within the set or extended period for reply reto reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months also a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. tutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tind d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
·	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . 2 Since this application is in condition to closed in accordance with the practic	b)⊡ This action ifor allowance exce	s non-final. ept for formal matters, pr		e merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat i	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are wit Claim(s) <u></u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3-15,17-24 and 26-32</u> is/a Claim(s) <u>16 and 25</u> is/are objected to Claim(s) <u></u> are subject to restriction Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u></u> is/are:	hdrawn from cons are rejected. o. tion and/or electio	n requirement.	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	tion to the drawing(the correction is rec	s) be held in abeyance. Se uired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C	• •
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim of All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of Certified copies of the priority of Some * c) 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office actions.	documents have to documents have to for the priority documental Bureau (PCT F	een received. leen received in Applicat lements have been receiv Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	l Stage
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) 🔲 Infori	e of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal I		O-152)

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Response

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,3-4, 9, 12-13, 17-20 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai et al (6,405,149) in view of Ikegami (6,782,354).

Consider claim 1, Tsai et al teach a test tool to provide input to a media platform, comprising: a processor associated with the test tool (col. 3 lines 15-17); a memory coupled to the processor (col. 3 lines 45-50); a program executable in connection with the processor and the memory, the program to test various media platform resources (col. 3 lines 47-48; col. 4 lines 1-15); and wherein to test the various media platform resources the program can receive a number of selectable input, the number of selectable input to simulate multiple application characteristics associated with a service application on the media platform (col. 3 line 51 – col. 4 line 63).

Tsai et al did not suggest an input means to provide input variables to the test tool including configurable media platform resources. However, Ikegami suggested such (abstract; col. 2 lines 55-63).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Ikegami into view of Tsai et al in order to determine the effect of the system during various conditions.

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 3, as discussed above, Tsai et al teach wherein the number of selectable input variables are independently and incrementally definable (col. 5 lines 43-55).

Consider claim 4, Tsai et al teach wherein the service application includes a service application selected from the group of voice mail, interactive voice recognition (IVR) services, and dual tone multi-frequency (DTMF) applications (col. 3 lines 29-33; col. 4 lines 56-63).

Consider claim 9, Tsai et al teach media platform call simulator, comprising: a processor (col. 3 lines 15-17); a memory coupled to the processor (col. 3 lines 45-50); a program executable in connection with the processor and the memory, the program to simulate a performance of various media platform resources handling various service applications (col. 3 lines 47-48; col. 4 lines 1-15); and wherein to simulate a performance of various media platform resources handling various service applications the program receives a first number of input variables representing one or more application characteristics of one or more service applications (col. 3 line 51 – col. 4 line 63).

Tsai et al did not suggest an input means to provide input variables to the platform, and wherein input variables representing configurable media platform resources. However, Ikegami suggested such (abstract; col. 2 lines 55-63).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Ikegami into view of Tsai et al in order to determine the effect of the system during various conditions.

Consider claim 12, as discussed above, Tsai et al teach wherein first and the second number of input variables are independently and incrementally definable (col. 5 lines 43-55).

Consider claim 13, Tsai et al teach wherein the program simulates a performance of both call signaling and call media stream (col. 5 lines 25-38).

Consider claim 17, Tsai et al teach a simulation system, comprising: a processor (col. 3 lines 15-17); a memory coupled to the processor (col. 3 lines 45-50); means for simulating one or more application characteristics of one or more service applications (col. 3 lines 47-48; col. 4 lines 1-15).

Tsai et al did not suggest means for simulating configurable media platform resources. However, Ikegami suggested such (abstract; col. 2 lines 55-63).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Ikegami into view of Tsai et al in order to determine the effect of the system during various conditions.

Consider claim 18, Tsai et al teach wherein the means for simulating one or more application characteristics of one or more service applications includes a program executable on the system to provide a number of independent input variables associated with the one or more application characteristics of one or more service applications (col. 5 lines 43-55).

Consider clam 19, Tsai et al teach wherein the means for simulating configurable media platform resources includes a program executable on the system to provide a number of independent input variables associated with configurable media platform resources (col. 3 lines 55-67).

Consider claim 20, Tsai et al teach wherein the media platform handles a number of service applications without under-utilizing the resource capability of the media platform (col. 547-50).

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 32, Tsai et al teach a computer readable medium having a program to cause a device to perform a method that comprises: providing a number of input variables (col. 3 lines 55-67); performing a test routine based on the number of input variables (col. 3 lines 1-12); and analyzing results of the testing routine to determine the performance capabilities of the media platform (col. 5 lines 11-42).

Tsai et al did not suggest wherein input variables representing configurable media platform resources. However, Ikegami suggested such (abstract; col. 2 lines 55-63).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Ikegami into view of Tsai et al in order to determine the effect of the system during various conditions.

3. Claims 5-7 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai et al (6,405,149) in view of Ikegami (6,782,354) and further in view of Coleman (5,933,475).

Consider claim 5, Tsai et al did not suggest wherein the number of selectable input variables include a call rate, a length of response time, a message time length, a call distribution pattern, and a call duration. However, Coleman suggested such (col. 3 line 40 – col. 4 line 11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Coleman into view of Tsai et al and Ikegami in order to validate the performance capability of the system.

Consider claim 6, Coleman teaches wherein the call rate is variable in increments of milliseconds, and wherein each of the number of selectable input variables can cycle through multiple combinations in multiple iterations (col. 2 lines 11-42).

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 7, Tsai et al did not suggest teach wherein the various media platform resources include resources selected from the group of memory, media channels, network interconnects, processing capability, and application module resources. However, Coleman suggested such (col. 1 lines 47-59; col. 14 line 49-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Coleman into view of Tsai et al and Ikegami in order to validate the performance of the network.

Consider claim 10, Tsai et al did not suggest wherein the first number of input variables representing one or more application characteristics can define: a call distribution pattern which varies over time during a testing routine; a call duration which varies over time during a testing routine; one or more message lengths associated with different activities in a particular service application; and one or more length of response times associated with the different activities of a particular service application. However, Coleman suggested such (col. 3 line 40 – col. 4 line 11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Coleman into view of Tsai et al and Ikegami in order to validate the performance capability of the system.

Consider claim 11, Tsai et al did not suggest wherein the second number of input variables representing configurable media platform resources can define: a number of available media channels; an amount of processing resources; a number of network connections; and an amount of memory resources. However, Coleman suggested such (col. 1 lines 47-59; col. 14 line 49-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time

Art Unit: 2643

the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Coleman into view of Tsai et al and Ikegami in order to validate the performance of the network.

4. Claims 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai et al (6,405,149) in view of Ikegami (6,782,354) and further in view of Liese et al (6,425,096).

Consider claim 14, Tsai et al did not suggest wherein the program simulates the performance of both call signaling and call media stream across multiple T1 media cards and at least a thousand DS0s. However, Liese et al suggested such (col. 9 lines 56-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Liese et al into view of Tsai et al and Ikegami in order to validate the performance of the network.

5. Claims 15, 21-24, 26 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai et al (6,405,149) in view of Ikegami (6,782,354) and further in view of Fitch (5,633,909).

Consider claim 15, Tsai et al did not suggest wherein the program outputs categorized performance report data. However, Fitch suggested such (col. 3 lines 34-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Fitch into view of Tsai et al and Ikegami in order to better manage test results.

Consider claim 21, Tsai et al teach a method for testing a media platform, comprising: selecting a number of scalable variables to define one or more application characteristics for different service applications (col. 3 lines 55-67); executing a testing routine which implements the selected number of scalable variables (col. 3 lines 1-12); measuring the performance of

various media platform resources while executing the testing routine; analyzing the measured performance (col. 5 lines 11-42).

Tsai et al did not suggest selecting a number of scalable variables including inputting configurable media platform resources. However, Ikegami suggested such (abstract; col. 2 lines 55-63).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Ikegami into view of Tsai et al in order to determine the effect of the system during various conditions.

Furthermore, Tsai et al did not suggest providing categorized performance report data. However, Fitch suggested such (col. 3 lines 34-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Fitch into view of Tsai et al and Ikegami in order to better manage test results.

Consider claim 22, Tsai et al teach wherein selecting a number of scalable variables to define one or more application characteristics includes: independently and incrementally defining the number of scalable variables such that multiple increments can be defined for each of the number of variables (col. 5 lines 43-55); and cycling through multiple combinations of the incrementally defined number of scalable variables in multiple iterations while executing the testing routine (col. 4 lines 11-31).

Consider claim 23, Tsai et al teach wherein executing a testing routine includes executing a repeatable testing routine useable for a number of different service applications and executing a variable testing routine based on the number of scalable variables (col. 5 line 57 – col. 6 line 2).

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 24, Tsai et al teach wherein a different set of the number of scalable variables can be associated with the different service applications, and wherein the different service applications can be selected from a memory (col. 3 lines 55-67).

Consider claim 26, Tsai et al teach a method for testing a media platform, comprising: providing a first number of input variables to a program representing one or more application characteristics of one or more enhance service applications (col. 3 line 51 – col. 4 line 63); performing a simulation, based on the first and the second input variables, to measure a performance of a media platform handling the one or more service applications thereon (col. 3 lines 1-12); analyzing results from the performed simulation (col. 5 lines 11-42).

Tsai et al did not suggest providing a second number of input variables representing configurable media platform resources. However, Ikegami suggested such (abstract; col. 2 lines 55-63).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Ikegami into view of Tsai et al in order to determine the effect of the system during various conditions.

Tsai et al did not suggest providing performance report data based on a number of categorized input data. However, Fitch suggested such (col. 3 lines 34-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Fitch into view of Tsai et al and Ikegami in order to better manage test results.

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 29, Tsai et al teach wherein performing a simulation based on the first and the second input variables includes measuring simulation interactions of the one or more service applications according to configurable media platform resources (col. 5 lines 11-42).

Consider claim 30, Tsai et al teach wherein analyzing results from the performed simulation includes analyzing an impact on a particular set of media platform resources when running one or more service applications (col. 5 lines 11-42).

Consider claim 31, Tsai et al teach wherein analyzing results from the performed simulation includes analyzing an impact of the characteristics from one service application on a performance of another service application for the particular set of media platform resources (col. 5 lines 11-42).

6. Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai et al (6,405,149) in view of Ikegami (6,782,354) and Fitch (5,633,909) and further in view of Coleman (5,933,475).

Consider claim 27, Tsai et al did not suggest wherein providing the second number of input variables includes providing the second number of input variables selected from the group of: a variable number of available media channels; a variable amount of processing resources; a variable amount of network connections; and a variable amount of memory resources. However, Coleman suggested such (col. 1 lines 47-59; col. 14 line 49-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Coleman into view of Tsai et al in order to validate the performance of the network.

Consider claim 28, Tsai et al did not suggest wherein providing a second number of input variables representing configurable media platform resources includes providing a second number of variables selected from the group of: a call rate which varies over time during a testing routine; a call duration which varies over time during a testing routine; variable message lengths associated with different activities in a particular service application; and a variable length of response time associated with the different activities of a particular service application. However, Coleman suggested such (col. 3 line 40 – col. 4 line 11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Coleman into view of Tsai et al in order to validate the performance capability of the system.

7. Claims 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai et al (6,405,149) in view of Ikegami (6,782,354) and Coleman (5,933,475) and further in view of Liese et al (6,425,096).

Consider claim 8, Tsai et al did not suggest wherein the media channels include media channels in a T1 or E1 media card. However, Liese et al suggested such (col. 9 lines 56-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Liese et al into view of Tsai et al, Ikegami and Coleman in order to validate the performance of the network.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 16 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2643

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 11/16/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant argument that the combination of Tsai in view of Ikegami do not suggest of "using configurable media platform resources to simulate multiple application characteristics associated with a service application". Accordingly, the examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant arguments. Ikegami disclosure is drawn to a simulation system that capable of simulating both hardware and software models as various resources. The resources are allocated enable testing of a particular function under certain constrain conditions. Thus, Ikegami system enable user to create some kind of resources and allocated it to a particular system for testing purposes (see col. 2 –3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to take the concept of Ikegami of simulating any kind of resources and apply it into the telecommunications environment to simulate the resources of the telecommunications platform such as the telecommunications of Tsai to allow user to test the system under certain condition with the simulated resources. Therefore, the examiner believed that the combination of Tsai and Ikegami read on applicant limitations as presented in the claims.

Applicant continues on with similar arguments. Therefore, the responses will be the same as those provided above.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 12

Art Unit: 2643

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop _____(explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.) Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Quoc Tran** whose telephone number is (571) 272-7511. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, TH and Friday from 8:00 to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Curtis Kuntz**, can be reached on (571) 272-7499.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600** whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

